

MINUTES OF GOVERNING BODY MEETING

1 April 2021

Attendees:

Assistant Director of Strategic Infrastructure	ADoSI
Highways and Planning Solicitor	H&PS
Corporate Lead (Design & Asset Management)	CLDAM
Corporate Lead (Green Space & Street Scene)	CLGS&SS
Traffic Engineer	TE
Graduate Engineer	GE

1. Apologies

Corporate Lead (Transportation), Flood Programme Manager, Performance Manager and Engineer.

2. Matters arising

Previous minutes were not available but were accepted outside of the meeting.

3. TROs for Consideration

a) Parsonage Lane, Brighouse TRO

GE explained that a TRO on Parsonage Lane is required as part of a Section 278 relating to a previous planning consent for a new housing development. Informal consultation has been completed without receiving any adverse comments and the scheme was ready for formal consultation.

Outcome

It was unanimously agreed that the scheme can progress to formal consultation

The TRO Governing Body:

- (i) Approves the instruction to the Case Manager and the Head of Democratic and Partnership Services to draft the necessary documentation to progress to formal consultation.

b) Wakefield Road, Copley SLO

TE explained the scheme to reduce the speed limit on two short lengths of A6026 Wakefield Road to improve consistency. Informal consultation had been carried out and no objections had been received and that the scheme was ready for formal consultation.

Outcome

It was unanimously agreed that the scheme can progress to formal consultation

The TRO Governing Body:

- (i) Approves the instruction to the Case Manager and the Head of Democratic and Partnership Services to draft the necessary documentation to progress to formal consultation.

c) Bramston Street, Brighouse TRO

TE explained that this proposal was the result of the intensification of traffic movements in the area brought about by a successful planning application. The scheme has been through Formal Consultation and objections have been received.

There were two elements to the scheme and objections:

1. The introduction of 10m corner protection orders at the junctions of Thornhill Road/George Street, George Street/Bryan Street and Bryan Street/Thomas Street. TE explained that the purpose of these was to secure sight lines, maintain turning manoeuvres and protect pedestrian routes in accordance with the advice within the Highway Code. TE further explained that the objections related to the displacement of residential parking but he would still recommend the introduction of the restrictions on the basis of highway safety and the increased traffic movements brought about by the successful planning application.
2. The introduction of a one-way system along George Street – away from its junction with Thornhill Road. TE explained that overall this would remove the risk associated with increased amounts of traffic egressing onto Thornhill Road from George Street, which was a substandard junction. TE further described that there had been an objection received which related to increased journey lengths but that in his opinion this length was minimal and that there were other route options available therefore he would still recommend the implementation of the one way working.

After a brief discussion the Governing Body unanimously approved the introduction of both elements of the proposal on the grounds of improved road safety.

Outcome

It was unanimously agreed that the objections are without merit and the TRO should be implemented as advertised.

The TRO Governing Body:

- (i) Approves the making and implementation of the order as proposed and holds that the objection be overruled.
- (ii) That the objector be informed

d) Any other business

No AOB raised.