

## **MINUTES OF GOVERNING BODY MEETING**

**20 May 2021**

### **Attendees:**

Assistant Director of Strategic Infrastructure	ADoSI
Highways and Planning Solicitor	H&PS
Corporate Lead (Design & Asset Management)	CLDAM
Corporate Lead (Green Space & Street Scene)	CLGS&SS
Corporate Lead Transportation	CLT
Engineer	E
Traffic Engineer	TE
Graduate Engineer	GE
Executive Support Officer	ESO

### **1. Apologies**

Flood Programme Manager and Performance Manager.

### **2. Matters arising**

Previous minutes accepted as a correct record

### **3. TROs for Consideration**

#### **a) Cragg Road, Mytholmroyd – SLO**

GE described the scheme and reported that there has been 1 emailed objection and 9 emails in support.

The objection suggested the scheme was unnecessary and that it was a waste of taxpayer's money. GE reported that the affected length has 3 major injuries recorded over the last 5 years. The funding is specifically allocated to the council by WYCA to fund priorities specified for this scheme which is part of a safer highway's environment for cyclist, pedestrians, and other vulnerable road users. Therefore, it was agreed that the objection does not have any merit.

E continued that some of the responses in support have suggested extending the length of the proposed SLO. The suggestions were discussed and despite the possible benefits from the suggestions it was agreed that the resulting delay caused by the requirement to re-advertise the change would not be of benefit to the community. It was agreed that the suggestions will be monitored and reviewed and considered again in the future.

TE noted that further work will be undertaken following the SLO to investigate needs of traffic calming measures.

The GB unanimously approved the introduction of the proposal on the grounds of improved road safety.

### **Outcome**

It was unanimously agreed that the objection is without merit and should be overruled.

The supporting responses including suggestions for extending the reduced speed limit will be noted at present and considered again in the future to avoid delaying the benefits of the proposal.

The TRO should be implemented as advertised.

The TRO Governing Body:

- (i) Approves the making and implementation of the order as proposed and holds that the objection be overruled.
- (ii) That the objector be informed

#### **b) A58 Rochdale Road, Triangle SLO**

TE explained the scheme to extend the 30mph speed limit along the A58 in Triangle to make the gateways be more visible to drivers. Informal consultation had been carried out and no objections had been received and that the scheme was ready for formal consultation.

CLGS&SS suggested that the southern extent be extended to include a nearby residential property. It was agreed that prior to Formal consultation it was possible to investigate the suggestion with no effect on consultation to date.

The GB agreed to investigate the proposed extension and progress to formal consultation.

#### **Outcome**

It was unanimously agreed that the proposed change be investigated and the scheme can progress to formal consultation

The TRO Governing Body:

- (i) Approves the instruction to the Case Manager and the Head of Democratic and Partnership Services to draft the necessary documentation to progress to formal consultation.

#### **c) Any other business**

E reported that work was due to commence shortly on proposed TROs, SLOs, Bus Lanes and turning bans in connection with the A629 phase 1b. The scheme will be reported in more detail in the coming months.