

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00100	Unable to attribute comment to site.	Central Methodist Church	Not bad situation but traffic still goes through Brighouse to get to M62.
00115	Unable to attribute comment to site.	Central Methodist Church	Not bad situation but traffic still goes through Brighouse to get to M62.
00125	Unable to attribute comment to site.	Central Methodist Church	Not bad situation but traffic still goes through Brighouse to get to M62.
00294	Land to rear of 103-117, Lower Edge Road, Lower Edge, Elland	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00295	49, Brookfoot Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00299	Land adjacent to Kershaws Garden Centre, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00299	Land adjacent to Kershaws Garden Centre, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No use for agriculture and not a natural boundary to Green Belt. Infrastructure bus routes etc. all in place.
00299	Land adjacent to Kershaws Garden Centre, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Hove Edge/Hipperholme corridor. Transportation requirements need to be addressed for the whole corridor before any approvals are given for developments, at the same time.
00303	Rear of Blakeboroughs Athletic FC, off St. Giles Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00303	Rear of Blakeboroughs Athletic FC, off St. Giles Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Wildlife : part of football field, access down existing bridleway. Infrastructure overloaded.
00303	Rear of Blakeboroughs Athletic FC, off St. Giles Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Greenfield
00304	Brow Mills Industrial Estate, Brighouse Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00306	Denehurst Garage, St. Giles Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00308	Land at St Giles Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00308	Land at St Giles Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Field within open space with horse grazing and stables. greenfield.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00310	Land adjacent Crosslee PLC, Brighthouse Road, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00322	Site of Former Pond Quarry, Lightcliffe Road, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	
00322	Site of Former Pond Quarry, Lightcliffe Road, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	This is the site of quarry which would require 40,000 lorry loads of fill to make it viable. In this built up area this is not acceptable.
00323	Land Adjacent 12, Cawcliffe Road, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00323	Land Adjacent 12, Cawcliffe Road, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	The Model Railway site is a going concern and is an asset to the area. This should remain as it is.
00324	Land adjacent St. Andrews C of E School, Blackburn Road, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00324	Land adjacent St. Andrews C of E School, Blackburn Road, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	This site is in the centre of school grounds.
00330	Land off Elland Road, Brookfoot, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	This site requires removal as an industrial unit has been built on the site.
00330	Land off Elland Road, Brookfoot, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00336	Land at junction of, Ogden Lane & Toothill Bank, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	In Green Belt and good potential.
00336	Land at junction of, Ogden Lane & Toothill Bank, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	This area is clay base, nobody should allow building rubbish houses on this spoiling amenities for sport area. It is busy and the traffic would be dangerous to pedestrians as it is at a fork in the road.
00336	Land at junction of, Ogden Lane & Toothill Bank, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighthouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
00338	Land at, Bowling Avenue/Scholey Avenue, Brighthouse	Mulberry Suite	Bowling Alley, Scholey Road.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00342	Land to the South of Southages Quarry & to the West of Toothill Lane, Toothill Bank, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
00344	Land to the south of Clough Lane and to the rear of New Hey Road, New Hey Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00344	Land to the south of Clough Lane and to the rear of New Hey Road, New Hey Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	1. There should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton. 2. Any development on any areas of Green Belt in Clifton jeopardises the whole of the Clifton Green Belt. 3. Any development in Clifton has adverse effects on the road network in Brighouse - which is already unable to cope with current traffic levels. 4. Any roadworks/hold ups on the M62 always impacts on the Clifton area and Brighouse area as a whole. 5. Any improvement of the transport infrastructure could not cope with any planned development of this area. 6. There is a site submission for Thornhills Lane (<i>Comment Redacted</i>). As listed above there should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton. 7. It is impossible to develop Jay House Lane it is already a dangerous route from Bradford Road to Highmoor Lane. 8. The area around Thornhills Lane is surrounded by mining shafts - any development could make the area very dangerous/unsafe.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Excellent site within walking distance of Town Centre easily developable and deliverable. Should be allocated ASAP. Infrastructure in place.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Housing development of 500+ houses will destroy the visual amenity of the area and will affect the Calderdale Way and the ancient railway man's path alongside the former Clifton Road Station. The Clifton Colliery tramway will also be affected this dates from the 14th century.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Land adjacent to Thornhills Lane. This site is particularly unsuitable for development. The area already suffers from terrible traffic congestion and the area is well used for leisure activities and the Calderdale Way passes through. It would also ruin the beauty of the area and harm biodiversity. Run off could also create flooding.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Impact on greenfield site, and negative impact to local infrastructure - not able to cope with increased traffic levels - risk to road safety caused by significant increase in traffic on Clifton Common (already gets congested, particularly at school times) Thornhills Lane and Jay House Lane not adequate to cope with such an increase in traffic volumes that this would lead to. Impact on infrastructure schools and services. Concern sprawl on greenbelt land results in Clifton losing community degraded/lost as housing runs into surrounding Brighouse area. Access to proposed housing not safe.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Victoria Avenue, Clifton, green field/belt, local developer fenced with 6ft fence, fly tipping apparently. Not proven. Bad site for development, clay, hills terrible place to put a housing development.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Thornhills Lane - there is currently a one-lane historic lane so no access for the new homes.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Thornhills Lane has insufficient access. Could access be given from the bottom of Clifton Common (opposite the sandwich shop) instead.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	260 homes would equate to approx. 260 x 2 cars using Thornhills Lane a few times a day. So maybe 260 x 2 cars x 2 journeys each a day = 1040 cars a day using the lane. The existing infrastructure is inadequate. Thornhills Lane (the point that joins on to Clifton Common) is narrow and unable to support the increase in traffic)
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Definitely not suitable for employment/industrial use due to lack of roads.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	00352 and 00554 (Thornhills Lane) are on either side of a historic, ancient lane and hedgerows. It is part of the Calderdale Way. Historic features should not be removed.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	On Thornhills Lane fields there are curlews and hares. There is a duty to protect the environment.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Mining zone - is building feasible on top of coal mining tunnels.
00352	Land adjacent Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Residents of Brighouse use the Thornhills Lane area for recreation - cycling, walking, horse-riding. We need to retain some green spaces for the health and well-being of citizens.
00359	Land adjacent Whinney Hill Park, Whinney Hill, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00389	Mytholm Works, Halifax Old Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Mytholm Works, Halifax Old Road, Hipperholme. Support for housing. Close to bus stops. Brownfield site. Site ready. Existing valid Planning Permission on part of site. Offer to include the parcel of land for re-opening foot bridge to the proposed reopening of Hipperholme railway station adjacent (00390)
00389	Mytholm Works, Halifax Old Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00389	Mytholm Works, Halifax Old Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Infrastructure overloaded.
00390	Land at Station Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Land at Station Road, Hipperholme. Support this for re-opening of Hipperholme railway station.
00390	Land at Station Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support. Station only
00394	Former Brickworks Monoplas, Badger Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00399	Land to rear of The Grove, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Access off Bramley Lane and The Grove?? Infrastructure and central traffic lights overloaded
00532	Unable to attribute comment to site.	Central Methodist Church	Too much traffic in Brighouse already, no viable way to build by-pass without making worse for many years.
00539	Crosslee PLC, St Giles Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00541	Land at Station Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	1. There should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton. 2. Any development on any areas of Green Belt in Clifton jeopardises the whole of the Clifton Green Belt. 3. Any development in Clifton has adverse effects on the road network in Brighouse - which is already unable to cope with current traffic levels. 4. Any roadworks/hold ups on the M62 always impacts on the Clifton area and Brighouse area as a whole. 5. Any improvement of the transport infrastructure could not cope with any planned development of this area. 6. There is a site submission for Thornhills Lane (<i>Comment Redacted</i>). As listed above there should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton. 7. It is impossible to develop Jay House Lane it is already a dangerous route from Bradford Road to Highmoor Lane. 8. The area around Thornhills Lane is surrounded by mining shafts - any development could make the area very dangerous/unsafe.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Housing development of 500+ houses will destroy the visual amenity of the area and will affect the Calderdale Way and the ancient railway man's path alongside the former Clifton Road Station. The Clifton Colliery tramway will also be affected this dates from the 14th century.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Thornhills Lane. This site is particularly unsuitable for development. Building in this Green Belt would destroy hedgerows and damage a beautiful area. It would also damage views from existing homes and make traffic queues even worse. There isn't the traffic infrastructure to sustain it.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Concerns about road safety - impact on traffic increasing on Clifton Common, Thornhills Road and Jay House Lane not suitable to support increased traffic. Impact on greenfield site, transport and local infrastructure (schools/services)
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Embankment spoiled by 6ft industrial fence, trees uprooted, mines underneath. Loss of public right of way.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Thornhills Lane - there is currently a one-lane historic lane so no access for the new homes.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Definitely not suitable for employment/industrial use due to lack of roads.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	00352 and 00554 (Thornhills Lane) are on either side of a historic, ancient lane and hedgerows. It is part of the Calderdale Way. Historic features should not be removed.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	On Thornhills Lane fields there are curlews and hares. There is a duty to protect the environment.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Residents of Brighouse use the Thornhills Lane area for recreation - cycling, walking, horse-riding. We need to retain some green spaces for the health and well-being of citizens.
00554	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Too much traffic in Brighouse already, no viable way to build by-pass without making worse for many years.
00558	Land between Healey Wood Rd, and Scholey Rd, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Sports facilities will be lost also park for children.
00605	Land off Halifax Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00606	Land to the East of Bradford Road, West of Woolrow Lane, Bailiff Bridge, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00611	Land off St Giles Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00634	Land adjacent to Kershaws garden centre, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00637	Land at Station Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Land abutting Old Hipperholme railway station. Support for land if required for use to re-open Hipperholme railway station.
00637	Land at Station Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00646	Land at 164 Huddersfield Road and Toothill Lane, Rastrick, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	The Gatehouse, Huddersfield Road - 48 Houses This sites is on a very dangerous road junction and on Green Belt land. This site should be kept with the greenbelt and undeveloped, deep the allocation. Traffic in flows along Huddersfield Road into Brighouse at peak times will be increased. Increased risks to accidents for pedestrians and cars crossing the Woodhouse Lane junction. Traffic flows to the scout camp at Bradley Wood would be impeded with additional housing. The density of houses, dwellings per hectare, is also a problem 36 per hectare on site UNACCEPTABLE AND QUESTIONS NEEDED ON SUSTAINABILITY. Retain site as Green Belt.
00646	Land at 164 Huddersfield Road and Toothill Lane, Rastrick, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Dangerous junction, limited capability for road improvements. Against development

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00646	Land at 164 Huddersfield Road and Toothill Lane, Rastrick, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Problematic for doctors surgery
00646	Land at 164 Huddersfield Road and Toothill Lane, Rastrick, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
00659	Badger Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00659	Badger Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Infrastructure overloaded.
00662	Land adjacent, Langlea Terrace, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00682	Land adjacent, Langlea Terrace, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00682	Land North of Dewsbury Road, West of New Hey Road & Land off Lower Edge Rd, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Preferred area for house building because of minimal impact of increased numbers of houses and traffic onto B6114. Resulting traffic numbers greater than if land used for industry but further away from existing housing stock in Rastrick. There's sufficient area on this site for schools shops along with new build for another Upper Rastrick and fulfil our quota. Allocate industrial units to brownfield areas.
00682	Land North of Dewsbury Road, West of New Hey Road & Land off Lower Edge Rd, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Land north of Dewsbury Road Rastrick. Part of this is recreational area (cricket ground/informal play/dog walkers/etc.) and it highly desirable that it stays so (no alternative). The westerly edge of this site is part of the green edge between Rastrick and Elland and should be protected as such.
00684	Hoyle House Farm (STATION SITE 1), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00684	Hoyle House Farm (STATION SITE 1), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Have been purchased in the last two years to specifically remove them from building use.
00684	Hoyle House Farm (STATION SITE 1), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Land bought by adjoining owners to <u>stop</u> development. Infrastructure overloaded
00685	Hoyle House Farm (STATION SITE 2), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00685	Hoyle House Farm (STATION SITE 2), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Land bought by adjoining owners to <u>stop</u> development. Infrastructure overloaded

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00686	Hoyle House Farm (SITE1), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Join with 00688 and extend total area bounded by Coach Road/Catherine Slack/Stoney Lane and Cliffe Hill Primary School. This would provide an access road to the back of Hipperhome and Lightcliffe High School to provide car park for Sports Centre thereby removing congestion in Stoney Lane making a much safer environment around the schools. The land cannot be maintained with agricultural use and will rapidly become derelict due to any lack of investment.
00686	Hoyle House Farm (SITE1), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00688	Hoyle House Farm (SITE 3), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Join with 00686 and extend total area bounded by Coach Road/Catherine Slack/Stoney Lane and Cliffe Hill Primary School. This would provide an access road to the back of Hipperhome and Lightcliffe High School to provide car park for Sports Centre thereby removing congestion in Stoney Lane making a much safer environment around the schools. The land cannot be maintained with agricultural use and will rapidly become derelict due to any lack of investment.
00688	Hoyle House Farm (SITE 3), Smith House Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00710	Land and Buildings at Boothroyd School, Rastrick, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00710	Land and Buildings at Boothroyd School, Rastrick, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Keep for greenspace amenities for school & community land off Boothroyd Lane, Rastrick 3992 houses. Houses would obliterate ancient footpath from Lillands - Lower Edge and would surround the William Henry Smith School. Both issues would be to the detriment of the school and the local leisure amenities and the character of the land.
00710	Land and Buildings at Boothroyd School, Rastrick, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Not bad situation but traffic still goes through Brighouse to get to M62.
00710	Land and Buildings at Boothroyd School, Rastrick, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	If this site were developed it would require more leisure facilities for the whole Field Lane Estate. Presumably sewage, water etc. would also require insertion. Traffic issues at entrance/exit to estate and within the estate too.
00717	Land at Lees Lane and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
00717	Land at Lees Lane and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Rooks Lane - Farmland in isolation outside settlement. *Existing infrastructure overloaded now.
00738	Land adjacent to Boggart Lane, Northedge Park, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Access off Bramley Lane and The Grove?? Infrastructure and central traffic lights overloaded

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
00748	Land at Break Neck, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	yes -support
00792	Land to the East of Groveville, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
00792	Land to the East of Groveville, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Access off Bramley Lane and The Grove?? Infrastructure and central traffic lights overloaded
00808	End of Wilton Street, Brookfoot, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	the development of 85 houses will cause traffic congestion on Halifax Road. Access to Elland Road will create additional road traffic problems.
00808	End of Wilton Street, Brookfoot, Brighouse		Land at Wilton Street - can it be built on?
00830	Site of Former Southedge Quarry, Brighouse Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	This site should not have housing as a Government Paper issued in 2009 stated this former quarry was not/and must not be used for housing. Only to be used as employment (
00830	Site of Former Southedge Quarry, Brighouse Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Impractical - quarries and - poor access
01356	Kershaw Garden Centre, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
01356	Kershaw Garden Centre, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Hove Edge/Hipperholme corridor. Transportation requirements need to be addressed for the whole corridor before any approvals are given for developments, at the same time.
01477	Scrap Yard at Southedge and Southedge Cottage, Brighouse Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
01478	Land rear of Clifton Mills, Bradford Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
01479	Cranbrook Mill, Station Road, Norwood Green	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
01481	Land adjacent 229 Wakefield Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
01869	Firth's Carpets, 432 Bradford Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
01889	Woodhead, Denholme Gate Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	?
01889	Woodhead, Denholme Gate Road, Brighouse		Access problems on outside of settlement.
01931	Lightcliffe Works, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
01938	Thornills Farm, 328 Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	1. There should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton. 2. Any development on any areas of Green Belt in Clifton jeopardises the whole of the Clifton Green Belt. 3. Any development in Clifton has adverse effects on the road network in Brighouse - which is already unable to cope with current traffic levels. 4. Any roadworks/hold ups on the M62 always impacts on the Clifton area and Brighouse area as a whole. 5. Any improvement of the transport infrastructure could not cope with any planned development of this area. 6. There is a site submission for Thornhills Lane (<i>Comments redacted</i>). As listed above there should be no loss of greenbelt land around Clifton. 7. It is impossible to develop Jay House Lane it is already a dangerous route from Bradford Road to Highmoor Lane. 8. The area around Thornhills Lane is surrounded by mining shafts - any development could make the area very dangerous/unsafe.
01938	Thornills Farm, 328 Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Difficulty of access to and from Thornhill Beck Lane and Thornhills Lane.
01938	Thornills Farm, 328 Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Thornhills Farm, 328 Thornhills Lane. This site is unsuitable for development due to poor access and would have a seriously negative impact on the area's beauty and nature.
02073	Grounds of Manor House Nursing Home, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
02160	Land off, Toothill Bank, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Amenity area for sports and football serving most of Rastrick. Keep for green space amenities for school and community.
02160	Land off, Toothill Bank, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
02178	Land off, Wakefield Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
02178	Land off, Wakefield Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Land bought by adjoining owners to <u>stop</u> development. Infrastructure overloaded
02275	Crow Nest Park Golf Club, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
02275	Crow Nest Park Golf Club, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Wildlife : part of football field, access down existing bridleway. Infrastructure overloaded.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
02275	Crow Nest Park Golf Club, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Greenfield site - leave alone.
02448	Land to the South, Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
02448	Land to the South, Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Rooks Lane - Farmland in isolation outside settlement. *Existing infrastructure overloaded now.
02452		Mulberry Suite	I feel this land should be retained for housing development. Close proximity to schools, railway, shops etc. - would make a perfect development site for families. Please consider for approval for 11 town houses.
02453	Rear of Calder Industrial Estate, Bradford Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
02453	Rear of Calder Industrial Estate, Bradford Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Fields adjacent to Bradford Road. 150 houses already built adjacent to this site. Building more houses on green fields. Bailiff Bridge is already overcrowded there has been 600 houses built since the year 2000.
02462	Former Hill Crest Quarry, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Yes - support
02462	Former Hill Crest Quarry, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No use for agriculture and not a natural boundary to green belt. Infrastructure bus routes etc. all in place.
02462	Former Hill Crest Quarry, Halifax Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Hove Edge/Hipperholme corridor. Transportation requirements need to be addressed for the whole corridor before any approvals are given for developments, at the same time.
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	1. There should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton. 2. Any development on any areas of Green Belt in Clifton jeopardises the whole of the Clifton Green Belt. 3. Any development in Clifton has adverse effects on the road network in Brighouse - which is already unable to cope with current traffic levels. 4. Any roadworks/hold ups on the M62 always impacts on the Clifton area and Brighouse area as a whole. 5. Any improvement of the transport infrastructure could not cope with any planned development of this area. 6. There is a site submission for Thornhills Lane (<i>Comments redacted</i>). As listed above there should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton. 7. It is impossible to develop Jay House Lane it is already a dangerous route from Bradford Road to Highmoor Lane. 8. The area around Thornhills Lane is surrounded by mining shafts - any development could make the area very dangerous/unsafe.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Housing development of 500+ houses will destroy the visual amenity of the area and will affect the Calderdale Way and the ancient railway man's path alongside the former Clifton Road Station. The Clifton Colliery tramway will also be affected this dates from the 14th century.
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Land at Thornhills Lane. This site is particularly unsuitable for development due to poor access and being greenbelt land that is well used for recreation. The road network would not support further development and it would allow sprawl into this area.
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Impact on greenfield site, transport and local infrastructure (schools/services)
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Density of allocations/dwellings per hectare unacceptable.
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Embankment spoiled by 6ft industrial fence, trees uprooted, mines underneath. Loss of public right of way.
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Definitely not suitable for employment/industrial use due to lack of roads.
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	00352 and 00554 (Thornhills Lane) are on either side of a historic, ancient lane and hedgerows. It is part of the Calderdale Way. Historic features should not be removed.
02467	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	On Thornhills Lane fields there are curlews and hares. There is a duty to protect the environment.
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	1. There should be no loss of greenbelt land around Clifton. 2. Any development on any areas of greenbelt in Clifton jeopardises the whole of the Clifton greenbelt. 3. Any development in Clifton has adverse effects on the road network in Brighouse - which is already unable to cope with current traffic levels. 4. Any roadworks/hold ups on the M62 always impacts on the Clifton area and Brighouse area as a whole. 5. Any improvement of the transport infrastructure could not cope with any planned development of this area. 6. There is a site submission for Thornhills Lane. As listed above there should be no loss of greenbelt land around Clifton. 7. It is impossible to develop Jay House Lane it is already a dangerous route from Bradford Road to Highmoor Lane. 8. The area around Thornhills Lane is surrounded by mining shafts - any development could make the area very dangerous/unsafe.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Housing development of 500+ houses will destroy the visual amenity of the area and will affect the Calderdale Way and the ancient railway man's path alongside the former Clifton Road Station. The Clifton Colliery tramway will also be affected this dates from the 14th century.
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Land at Thornhills Lane. This site is particularly unsuitable for development due to poor access and would seriously impact on the natural beauty of the area. It is an area well used for leisure and recreation and as such a valuable resource in its own right.
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Impact on greenfield site, transport and local infrastructure (schools/services)
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Embankment spoiled by 6ft industrial fence, trees uprooted, mines underneath. Loss of public right of way.
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Definitely not suitable for employment/industrial use due to lack of roads.
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	00352 and 00554 (Thornhills Lane) are on either side of a historic, ancient lane and hedgerows. It is part of the Calderdale Way. Historic features should not be removed.
02468	Land at, Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	On Thornhills Lane fields there are curlews and hares. There is a duty to protect the environment.
02484	The Gatehouse, Huddersfield Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	The Gatehouse, Huddersfield Road - 48 Houses This sites is on a very dangerous road junction and on GreenBbelt land. This site should be kept with the Green Belt and undeveloped, deep the allocation. Traffic in flows along Huddersfield Road into Brighouse at peak times will be increased. Increased risks to accidents for pedestrians and cars crossing the Woodhouse Lane junction. Traffic flows to the scout camp at Bradley Wood would be impeded with additional housing. The density of houses, dwellings per hectare, is also a problem 36 per hectare on site UNACCEPTABLE AND QUESTIONS NEEDED ON SUSTAINABILITY. Retain site as Green Belt.
02484	The Gatehouse, Huddersfield Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Access issues, impact on Green Belt.
02484	The Gatehouse, Huddersfield Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Problematic for doctors surgery

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
02484	The Gatehouse, Huddersfield Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
02497	Bramley Lane, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Access off Bramley Lane and The Grove?? Infrastructure and central traffic lights overloaded
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Housing development will affect the line of the Calderdale Way and the Brighouse Boundary Walk.
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Offers potential for building if local road network improved.
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	No - do not support
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Till Car Lane NO NO NO Flooding could be a massive problem with streams in the valleys. Bailiff Bridge could become a flooded area. 150+ houses already have been built in this valley.
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	Is new but would if developed put nearly as much additional extra traffic on a road that is already over capacity roads.
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Suggest utilisation of this space as gives direct access to A58 and then direct to M62/M602 so reduced traffic congestion and closer to major conurbation and source of employment in Leeds.
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Good access to M62 via Whitehall Road. Build railway station, as Leeds will continue to be the biggest employment area.
02548	Land off, Leeds and Whitehall Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Rooks Lane - Farmland in isolation outside settlement. *Existing infrastructure overloaded now.
06682		Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
ELR101	Birds Royd Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
ELR107	Wakefield Road, Clifton	Mulberry Suite	Wakefield Road Clifton. This site is particularly unsuitable for development as it bridges the Green Belt gap between Clifton and Brighouse. It would also spoil the views of current residents and people living in Brighouse who look up upon a greenfield site.
ELR107	Wakefield Road, Clifton	Mulberry Suite	Access and congestion impact make this site unsustainable.
ELR107	Wakefield Road, Clifton	Mulberry Suite	As no employment sites are respected (see Crosslee) the only sensible place to put houses is near the motorway i.e. Rastrick and Clifton and Elland or at the very least at the motorway side of Brighouse; also this would allow us to 'co-operate' with Kirklees in a mini-conurbation with associated infrastructure. It would also add weight to the Junction 24A proposal. Building one big site rather than peppering the whole area is a much better approach so build houses.
ELR107	Wakefield Road, Clifton	Central Methodist Church	There are unusually insufficient car park spaces allocated to office parks. There would be a high number of cars parking in the streets of Clifton and causing traffic problems.
	Thornhills Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	There is a site submission for Thornhills Lane (Comments redacted). As listed above there should be no loss of Green Belt land around Clifton.
	Birkby Lane, Bailiff Bridge	Mulberry Suite	New site - disused railway embankment behind Clifton Mills area ● Bailiff Bridge suggestion for retirement bungalows as close access of amenities. Joint boundary with Bradford Council area.
General Comment		Mulberry Suite	A large No of sites are speculative and topography and or access, road congestion rule out many sites.
General Comment		Mulberry Suite	Unfortunately the plans are out of date and we need to see something more accurate and more advanced views from the Local Government and Central Government. What does not seem to be taken account in some cases is where land is unsuitable because of flooding and steep unsuitable sites. It is no use putting planning on land which is uneconomical to develop.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
General Comment		Mulberry Suite	My concern is not with where houses are built my concern is with how local infrastructure will be effected in specific relation to Health Service and schools. It always occurs that housing sites never think if the impact the new families will have leading to resentment and problems for people. Although other funding could come in to support the extra service requirements and services it rarely gets spent as it continues to plug other problems across the borough.
General Comment		Mulberry Suite	Another concern is building on the flood plains (as seen recently) large areas that soak up large amounts of water avoiding flooding locally.
	Land at Woodhouse Lane, Brighouse	Mulberry Suite	New site off Huddersfield Road. Given that no (or few) residents of Rastrick would wish for new build in there vicinity (back-yard) but also taking into account that a new junction on the M62 is planned on land at Toothill new housing and shops and schools could be built off Woodhouse Lane on land now designated as Woodhouse Farm. I cannot imagine that the local residents would welcome such a proposal, however this proposal would reduce impact on town centre and give easy access to M62.
General Comment		Mulberry Suite	Why/How is Primary Housing Land re allocated as Open Space.
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	Brownfield sites and vacant sites should all be exhausted before greenfield sites. This would give approx. 1500 homes based on the land put forward so far.
General Comment	Clifton	Central Methodist Church	Clifton sites. Transport infrastructure is inadequate to support the increased number of homes/employment/offices/units.
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	10,000 homes needed. Rather than 2,100 in Brighouse area split them equally over the 10 area of Calderdale e.g. 1,000 in each area.
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	Suggest that invite transport representative to future events.
Next to 00710	Land and Buildings at Boothroyd School, Rastrick, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Extend the land to the right of 00710. So next to the railway etc. and less impact on residents. Opposite school in Rastrick e.g. 125, 115, 110, 100
Next to 02484	The Gatehouse, Huddersfield Road, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Land adjacent to Woodhouse and Bradley Park Dike - extend and use the land for housing.
Next to 00308	St Giles Road, Lightcliffe, Brighouse	Central Methodist Church	Old tip land not used possible build land.
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	Until you solve Hipperholme crossroads and Brighouse traffic, there is no transport capacity in this area. To say otherwise is simply putting your head in the sand.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	Build on brownfield before you look to build anywhere else as this spreads to additional traffic our over a wider area.
Next to 00125	Unable to attribute comment to site.	Central Methodist Church	Not bad situation but traffic still goes through Brighthouse to get to M62.
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	There is a dearth of bungalows in many developments put forward. The building of these would allow older people to release houses that have grown too big for them and make living easier for them.
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	All brownfield sites should be considered before greenfield.
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	I am very concerned that no infrastructure will be in place before any houses are built. Brighthouse and surrounding areas are a bottle neck at peak traffic times. <u>New roads first please.</u>
General Comment		Central Methodist Church	PROPOSAL Most of the new builds seem to be on Green Belt. These are bordered by bridleways/footpaths/byways. How will this impact on the aforementioned trades? We need access to the countryside. Why is it called Green Belt if you can just build on it?
Suggested	Bramble Inn (Holly Bank Road/Field Lane)	Central Methodist Church	Bramble Inn (Holly Bank Road/Field Lane) site ripe for development. Pub was never a great success and has been closed for many years now.
Suggested	Scotty Bank/Bramston Street	Central Methodist Church	Robertshaws Electricals' foot of Scotty Bank/Bramston Street demolished years ago and infrastructure renewed but site remains vacant.
Suggested	Field Lane Estate, Rastrick. Sherburn Road, Burnsall Road, Arncliffe Crescent, Malham Road, Rastrick	Central Methodist Church	Field Lane Estate, Rastrick. Sherburn Road, Burnsall Road, Arncliffe Crescent, Malham Road had blocks of flats (low rise) (and medium). Several blocks of flats were demolished after a vote by the local tenants that they could be demolished only to be replaced by 2 bedroomed houses and bungalows. (ALL THE UTILITIES ARE STILL IN THE GROUND) why have these not be re-built? Have these not been replaced, particularly Sherburn Road flats with a view to allowing access to 00710 Land for development? The estate roads are built only for residential traffic and designated bus routes? NOT FORGETTING we have an infants and nursery school in the middle of the estate (Field Lane Community Primary School).
General Comment	Rastrick, Lillands Lane	Central Methodist Church	Rastrick, Lillands Lane and Railway Line. The land below floods on a regular basis after heavy rain (by pylons)
General Comment	Jumble Dyke	Central Methodist Church	Jumble Dyke. Area subject to floods.
General Comment			As a general principle: omit all greenfield sites.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
General Comment			There needs to be adequate provision for wildlife in the area so our children/grandchildren can learn about the natural world and see the same creatures and plants we saw as children. If we do not do this flora and fauna will just be fiction to the children.
Before the event Thornhills Lane, Clifton			I would like to place my objection to the plans for new housing on the Green Belt land on Thornhills Lane. There is absolutely no room for more traffic in that area and also the road Clifton Common which leads into Brighouse town centre is already blocked and congested at rush hour times.
After the event General Comment			I would invite you to explore a possible answer to the horrendous traffic congestions on Stoney Lane caused by Sports Centre and School activities. Drive a road along the south boundary from Stoney Lane along the side of the footpath to loop around Cliffe Hill school boundary to rear of Sports Centre.
After the event General Comment			Please can you find out when the West Yorkshire Passenger Transport are going to start improving the roads around Brighouse, Lightcliffe, Norwood Green, The A58. I do not understand how you can even contemplate building any more house's until the roads are improved. If you build more houses before the roads are improved no one will want to live in the above mentioned areas because they wont be able to get anywhere. A lot of the Green Belt earmarked for future building is next to bridleways and footpaths. I am very concerned how this will affect future use of these tracks. How do I get in touch with the people that are supposed to be improving/upgrading the roads. We need more Greenways for horse riders, cyclists, dog walkers, walkers. If you cover all the green spaces the land will not drain, no matter how good your drains are we need land to absorb the rain. I think every person in Calderdale should know about the Spatial Planning Team and what's intended for Calderdale. Not everyone has internet access. Could you perhaps mailshot everyone.

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
After the event 00352			<p>Strongly object to the possibility of the following site submissions being included in the Plan, due to the fact that they are situated within the green belt and as such should be protected from development of any kind:- 00352 Land adjacent Thornhills Lane - Medium Term. In addition to my objection, I would like to query Younger Homes' original "Call for Site" Submission Form (Ref: CFS-0038) in the following areas:-</p> <p>Q: Is the site Previously Developed Land? A: Yes I really fail to understand how this could be the case, as all the land in question is within an area of green belt. The only "previous development" they could be referring to is the historical use of the land for mining – which in itself implies that the land could well be considered as unsuitable for potential housing development, due to the existence of old mine workings.</p> <p>Q: What is the current use of the site? A: Vacant This land is currently used for grazing.</p> <p>Q: Please indicate the adjacent uses of the site. A: Residential This response is misleading in the extreme, as housing only exists at one edge of the site, with the remaining 3 edges being bound by: 1) a country lane + grazing land; 2) disused railway line + park woodland; 3) further fields + the old isolation hospital.</p> <p>Q: Please indicate any known constraints to developing the site.</p>
After the event 00554			Strongly object to the possibility of the following site submissions being included in the Plan, due to the fact that they are situated within the Green Belt and as such should be protected from development of any kind:- 00554 Thornhills Lane - Medium Term
After the event 02467			Strongly object to the possibility of the following site submissions being included in the Plan, due to the fact that they are situated within the green belt and as such should be protected from development of any kind:- 02467 Land at Thornhills Lane - Long Term
After the event 02468			Strongly object to the possibility of the following site submissions being included in the Plan, due to the fact that they are situated within the green belt and as such should be protected from development of any kind:-02468 - Land at Thornhills Lane - Long Term
After the event 01938			Strongly object to the possibility of the following site submissions being included in the Plan, due to the fact that they are situated within the green belt and as such should be protected from development of any kind:- 01938 Thornhills Farm - Held in Abeyance

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
After the event Clifton			<p>I attended the Brighthouse Workshop on Monday 17th, and it was not until the end that anyone mentioned that we could identify areas of land which we really <u>did not want to see developed</u> - even if they had not already been identified. Thinking on that line, and looking at the maps at the Workshop, the area edged red on the attached plan, superficially, looks as one where housing development might be possible. It would extend the existing Clifton Village to the Golf Course - a "defendable boundary". But, that superficial advantage is not borne out by the facts. I would like to register that this land should not be developed, but should remain Green Belt. My reasons are as follows:</p>
After the event Clifton			<p>1 The land has Historical importance. It is known as "The Acres" in Clifton, a name which it has had for many centuries. Books have been written about it. 2 Archeology. There is the location of the former Clifton Hall, as well traces of medieval strip farming within it. 3 It supports Community uses. The Clifton Rangers football field and the Riding School both perform vital roles within the Village Community. 4 It supports at least 3 businesses - all locally owned. 5 It is riddled with old mine works, both shafts and pits, which make it unsuitable for building on.</p>
After the event Clifton			<p>And, it does also perform a vital Green Belt function. It surrounds the Golf Course, and creates a "fringe" between it and the Village. Golf Courses are not themselves "Green Belt", they are merely a function which is allowed within Green Belt. Without the land in question, with its range of agricultural and community uses, there would be no "fringe" between the Village and the highly managed landscape of the Golf Course. Its loss would severely diminish the whole Green Belt. Also, many Golf Courses are in dire financial trouble at the moment, so there is no guarantee that the land will remain as a Golf Course - it could easily cease to be viable - and then if it was at the edge of the Village, there would be great pressure to include it within the urban area, as it in itself, would not be performing a Green Belt function. So the Village would then extend to the top of Highmoor Lane and the Clifton Green Belt would be no more. So, to avoid any of those possible consequences, I believe the land must remain within Green Belt and undeveloped.</p>

Existing Site Number	Address	Event	Comment
After the event General Comment			Further to the development of the Local Plan and the recent consultations that have been taking place, Shibden and District Bridleways would like to make the following points around any proposed developments. 1) Any potential development near to a bridleway or public right of way that may be used by equestrians, cyclists and walkers should have the safety of these groups taken into account. 2) Increased traffic levels are a great concern in certain areas, particularly on roads away from these developments that may be narrow as they are in a more rural area. 3) Some of the locations proposed would affect current relatively safe areas for equestrians, cyclists and walkers, being in quiet or 'dead end' areas. This means that the roads surrounding them are quieter and less dangerous for these groups to enable them to access the rights of way in these areas.
After the event General Comment			Some of the areas identified are as follows, although this is not an exclusive list: 1) Land off Sowden Lane, Norwood Green – this is adjacent to a public right of way that has currently an application in to have it correctly recorded as a bridleway. 2) Boggart Lane, Hipperholme, off The Grove/Northedge Park – this is adjacent to a public right of way that currently has an application in to have it correctly recorded as a bridleway. 3) Land off Lees Lane, running between Syke Lane and the main A58 at Lightcliffe – this is adjacent to a public bridleway. Although this route ends at a main road, it is often used for people or horses/ponies having their first canter out of an arena, by riding down to the road and cantering back up as it is a very safe environment for this activity, having a gate at the top and clear hedges on either side. 4) Coach Road, Lightcliffe – this is adjacent to a public right of way that has currently an application in to have it correctly recorded as a bridleway. 5) Badger Lane at Sunny Vale – this area is currently an oasis for horse riders, enabling them to access tracks to and from Southowram. It is also a lovely place for wildlife and development of this area would create a lot of noise pollution as well as being detrimental to the environment.