

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
00108	Land at Victoria Terrace, Burnley Road, Luddendenfoot	Potential access problems where road joins A646 (extremely busy trunk road). Impact on Luddenden Foot Academy and the small clinic/pharmacy on Kershaw Estate. Effect on already poor air quality corridor. A new bridge would be needed over canal to link sites and to ease congestion on minor roads (e.g. Station Road, Boy Scarr Road)
00108	Land at Victoria Terrace, Burnley Road, Luddendenfoot	Land at Victoria Terrace, Luddendenfoot (opposite Luddendenfoot School on the main road) also suggested by us. They remark that this is currently withheld from the review as it is dependent upon the amenity land review.
00114	Land off Luddenden Lane	Land off Luddenden Lane Capacity 7 houses. Availability of site - Low possibility. Designated brownfield site and assessed as potential site, appears to be viable and likely to be of interest to the market. Long Term
00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	Opposed to development - Green Belt
00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	Land off Greave House Field Capacity 44 houses. Availability of site. High possibility. Suitability - Possibly, Achievable - possible, Greenfield, assessed as Potential site adjacent to residential development appears to be viable and likely to be of interest to the market Medium Term. The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment shows 42 houses as being deliverable for construction in the short tem. Taken together with Sites - Land off Luddenden Lane and Tenterfields, Burnley Road, this gives a total of 123 houses. The council's "Preferred Options" for the Core Strategy set figures for housing growth for the Local Plan area. These were 70 for the Mytholmroyd area and 90 Luddendenfoot. In other words, there is a 37% oversupply compared to the requirement for Luddendenfoot, so there should be no necessity whatsoever to consider Greave House Fields site.
00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	Greave House Field - Objection. Several areas already developed and being developed in this area. Already built at 02401. Previously Oats Royd Mill and Old Firtex Mill at Luddendenfoot. Schools will not cope. Access will be past a school and a child friendly area. Roads already over congested. Proposed plan will join two completely different communities. Will spoil a unique conservation area and village. Drainage has previously been a problem/ wildlife will be affected/ land saturated. It is Greenfield land that was previously Green Belt. Unable to prove that there is a definite need due to already several recent developments in the area.

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	Land At Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot. AGAINST DEVELOPMENT. Luddenden is a conservation area and any development on this land would lead to there being no distinction between Luddenden and Luddendenfoot. The development from the Burnley Road, up Luddenden Lane around Kershaw Estate, the Furtex Mill, Bluebell Walk etc. Traffic has become intolerable and further development on this proposed site would make matters much worse. Access to this proposed development would be along Dene View. this is a narrow road and is already congested. It also serves as access to Luddendenfoot Junior School and Wood bank School. A development would make matters worse. Access to the site would require the council to sell the narrow strip of land to a developer - it is within your gift to stop this and I would ask you to invoke this. This greenfield site provides enjoyment to local residents, horse riders, etc. It is the only piece of greenfield left in this part of Luddenden/ Luddendenfoot. Keep it as it is for the enjoyment of existing and future residents. It also serves as a haven to wildlife etc. More housing would lead to greater strain on the local communities of Luddenden and Luddendenfoot, schools, doctors surgery (already reduced services), shops etc. As above traffic congestion is already intolerable. Busses struggle to navigate the roads due to parked cars and traffic, emerging vehicles would also struggle. Do not exacerbate matters. The area is already overdeveloped. Having lived in the area for 35 years, do not worsen the enjoyment of the resident further. No more development. Please! The land is on a fairly steep incline meaning that any development would tower over the surrounding houses lower down - Carr Field Drive, Shaw Mount, Luddenden Lane, Bluebell Walk etc. Visually it would ruin the aesthetics
00498	Hebden Briddge	Support
00501	Hebden Briddge	Support
00502	Hebden Briddge	Support
00578	Brearfield Bungalow, Luddenden Lane, Luddendenfoot	Appropriate site for development.
00624	Land adjacent Woodhouse Grove, Scout Road, Mytholmroyd	Brownfield development only as green space is key part of the community - lot of houses for area, protect Scout Road field, community garden.
00624	Land adjacent Woodhouse Grove, Scout Road, Mytholmroyd	Smaller sites used as opposed to one large site etc. 00779, smaller sites dotted along the valley 00624, 01886 more in keeping, less effect on the overall aesthetic of the valley.
00625	Land at Victoria Terrace, Luddendenfoot	Potential access problems where road joins A646 (extremely busy trunk road). Impact on Luddenden Foot Academy and the small clinic/pharmacy on Kershaw Estate. Effect on already poor air quality corridor. A new bridge would be needed over canal to link sites and to ease congestion on minor roads (e.g. Station Road, Boy Scarr Road)
00654	Tenterfields, Burnley Road, Luddendenfoot	Keep as Green Belt. Negative impact on the canal.

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
00654	Tenterfields, Burnley Road, Luddendenfoot	Potential access problems where road joins A646 (extremely busy trunk road). Impact on Luddenden Foot Academy and the small clinic/pharmacy on Kershaw Estate. Effect on already poor air quality corridor. A new bridge would be needed over canal to link sites and to ease congestion on minor roads (e.g. Station Road, Boy Scarr Road)
00654	Tenterfields, Burnley Road, Luddendenfoot	We suggested the addition of the following: Tenterfields, Burnley Road Capacity 74 houses, available, possibly suitable, possibly achievable. Potential greenfield site within the urban area - site considered suitable, available and achievable. Topography may be a constraint (this seems rubbish considering how houses have been developed opposite the Friendly fish and chip shop). We would wish for 00654 to be used before 00117. The latter has a long history of consideration for development, but is considered by local people and LCS to be a buffer separating the village of Luddenden from Luddendenfoot. This stops the completion of a ribbon development from Luddendenfoot all the way to Midgley.
00655	Ellen Holme Road, Luddendenfoot	Good use of a brownfield site would need to address access.
00655	Ellen Holme Road, Luddendenfoot	Access to A646 very difficult would have to use Boy Scarr Road, Station Road (already busy due to Industrial estate). Effect on already poor air quality 'canyon' of A646. Again impact on local schools? Plus clinic/pharmacy.
00656	Ellen Holme Road, Luddendenfoot	Good use of a brownfield site would need to address access.
00656	Ellen Holme Road, Luddendenfoot	Access to A646 very difficult would have to use Boy Scarr Road, Station Road (already busy due to Industrial estate). Effect on already poor air quality 'canyon' of A646. Again impact on local schools? Plus clinic/pharmacy. A new bridge would be needed over canal to link sites and to ease congestion on minor roads (e.g. Station Road, Boy Scarr Road)
00657	Tenterfields, Burnley Road, Luddendenfoot	Keep as Green Belt. Negative impact on the canal.
00657	Tenterfields, Burnley Road, Luddendenfoot	Access to A64 very difficult would have to use Boy Scarr Road, Station Road (already busy due to Industrial estate). Effect on already poor air quality 'canyon' of A646. Again impact on local schools? Plus clinic/pharmacy. A new bridge would be needed over canal to link sites and to ease congestion on minor roads (e.g. Station Road, Boy Scarr Road)
00779	Land at Rogergate and Park Fold, Mytholmroyd	Outside of the urban boundary in green belt, poor access, would impact visual amenity. Open space.
00779	Land at Rogergate and Park Fold, Mytholmroyd	Smaller sites used as opposed to one large site etc. 00779, smaller sites dotted along the valley 00624 01886 more in keeping, less effect on the overall aesthetic of the valley.

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
00779	Land at Rogergate and Park Fold, Mytholmroyd	Before you could consider house building on this site we'd expect to see a plan for new roads that lead to Cragg Road and Burnley Road. The current access from both trunk roads is single lane only. This site is on this map purely because it's been offered by the landowner. It's been on the map for 5 years even though I've previously stated that it isn't workable. Would be opposed to green field site being used before alternative brown field sites. Access from Burnley Road is through a single access rail tunnel and from the Cragg Road is a 'first gear' tight left (uphill and round bend). This area of Mytholmroyd (Park Lane) is enjoyed by horse riders, dog walkers, hikers cyclists who came because of its Green Belt status as it continues to Hebden Bridge. We completely oppose this site.
00779	Land at Rogergate and Park Fold, Mytholmroyd	Access - none suitable (Nest Lane). It is within Green Belt - illogical extension to Green Belt. Drainage already not good. Sewage issues.
00827	MSI Brearley Works, Brearley	Poor access, flood plain, reduce number of dwellings.
01052	Brearley House, Brearley	appropriate
01541	Thorn Bank, New Road, Luddendenfoot	Brownfield no objection
01886	Land adjacent Cragg Brook, Cragg Road, Mytholmroyd	Considered appropriate for development, good access.
01886	Land adjacent Cragg Brook, Cragg Road, Mytholmroyd	Smaller sites used as opposed to one large site etc. 00779, smaller sites dotted along the valley 00624 01886 more in keeping, less effect on the overall aesthetic of the valley.
01886	Land adjacent Cragg Brook, Cragg Road, Mytholmroyd	Flood risk/plain - former Scarbottom Dam - serious risk of flash floods - surface and river flooding. A646 - only main road access through the valley - traffic management on to Burnley Road - increased pollution on road.
01914	Land off Burnley Road, Brearley	Keep as open space
02401	Former Kershaw Grange, Kershaw Crescent, Luddendenfoot	Appropriate site. Brownfield
02402	Land west of Caldene Avenue, Mytholmroyd	Suitable for development easily accessible via Caldene Ave.
02454	Former Travellers Rest Car Park, Steep Lane	No! Green Belt reinstate as a green place

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
02454	Former Travellers Rest Car Park, Steep Lane	Presumably children would go to Junior School at Sowerby? Road network diabolical - mainly single track with passing places (if you're lucky) and very high drystone walls! 18 houses is too many due to road network. * I am not against new housing developments but the impact on existing amenities must be taken into account. If schools, despite being extended (e.g. Luddendenfoot Academy) are already at capacity what can be done? Extend Luddenden C of E school? Larger better equipped pharmacy/clinic needed also.
02454	Former Travellers Rest Car Park, Steep Lane	Former Travellers Rest car park. Objection - I don't want houses built in this area. Poor road infrastructure, narrow roads, no footpaths not a bus route. Will increase in crime and anti social behaviour, increase in traffic. Will spoil landscape and encourage further development on Green Belt above snow line. Lack of facilities for young and elderly in area. Will not have a local A & E as it is moving to Huddersfield. Not a brownfield site, only a small area of this site was a car park for pub and surface was shingle NOT a hard surface - far too many houses i.e. 18 in total. School traffic will increase as not on a bus route - local roads in area in poor condition and collapsing due to volume of traffic when Burnley Road is closed for road works and accidents as these roads because a 'rat run' Scout Road is closed and the Brocks is in need of urgent repair. Roads in the Steep Lane area are narrow and will deteriorate with an increase in traffic roads leading to this identified site are too narrow.
Suggested	Former Walkleys clogs	Walkleys Clogs - Apartments,
Suggested	land adjacent to 00578. Luddendenfoot.	Old pub
General Comment		Empty shops could be converted to housing instead of being empty and derelict and an eyesore - better than building on greenfield.
General Comment		Smaller sites would also have the bonus of boosting local economy, work for local tradesmen, as opposed to large outside companies.
Suggested	Land south of A646 at Hawksclough. Former Walkleys clogs	Old Clog Factory
Suggested	Land between Ewood Hall and A646	Possible site for new Calder High School.
Suggested	George Street, Mytholmroyd	Small industrial units in amongst increasing housing usage. Flatten and make all residential.
Suggested	Land north of railway tracks; west of Mytholmroyd Station	Parking to improve use of station.
Suggested	Land south of A646 at Hawksclough	Council depot. B & E flowing with 00502 and 00501 near rail station

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
Suggested	Heights Design Co. Wainstalls	We feel it is important that the site marked A, Heights Design Co. Wainstalls, remain as an employment site only and not under any circumstances be allowed to become a residential site.
Suggested	North of Stones Farm to the wind turbines at Knoll Hill	We feel strongly that the protected moorland area to the north of Stones Farm to the wind turbines at Knoll Hill remains a protected area.
After event	Coach and Horses Inn and Car Park	Possible new sites we wondered if the former Coach and Horses Inn and Car Park at the junction of Luddenden Lane/A646 would be a viable option. I believe it has recently been up for auction, but empty for probably 10 years or more.
After event 00656 & 00657	Tenterfields	It is said that the Council has a site at Tenterfields which will provide space for the required houses. This site has good access and will not effect anybody.
After event	Former Walkleys Cloggs building	I consider that there are many opportunities to develop empty and derelict buildings and sites before developing any greenfield sites for housing or employment. In particular along the Calder valley nearby the following need proactive work and conversion; the former Walkleys Cloggs building
After event	White Lion, Mytholmroyd	I consider that there are many opportunities to develop empty and derelict buildings and sites before developing any greenfield sites for housing or employment. In particular along the Calder valley nearby the following need proactive work and conversion; White Lion
After event	Mytholmroyd Station	I consider that there are many opportunities to develop empty and derelict buildings and sites before developing any greenfield sites for housing or employment. In particular along the Calder valley nearby the following need proactive work and conversion; Mytholmroyd Station
After event	Empty pub outside Hebden towards Eastwood	I consider that there are many opportunities to develop empty and derelict buildings and sites before developing any greenfield sites for housing or employment. In particular along the Calder valley nearby the following need proactive work and conversion; empty pub outside Hebden towards Eastwood
After event	Former Grove Inn	I consider that there are many opportunities to develop empty and derelict buildings and sites before developing any greenfield sites for housing or employment. In particular along the Calder valley nearby the following need proactive work and conversion; former Grove Inn
After event	Coach and Horses Inn and Car Park	I consider that there are many opportunities to develop empty and derelict buildings and sites before developing any greenfield sites for housing or employment. In particular along the Calder valley nearby the following need proactive work and conversion; former Coach and Horses at Luddenden Foot

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
After event	General Comment	Within Mytholmroyd particularly New Road, there are a few empty shops and although I support local retailers, I would rather see them converted to residential than remain empty
After event	General Comment	If the Government could offer more incentives or subsidies, and enforcement to tackle these difficult buildings it would result in better regeneration of run-down areas and less pressure on the precious greenfield sites. This would make sense for a more sustainable use of existing land and resources and would benefit tourism within the area by removing eyesores and protecting attractive sites. Some investment in this way would bring more economic benefits to the area in the long term. Conservation-led regeneration or 'Constructive Conservation' would also emphasise the local distinctiveness and strong sense of place for this area to make it more attractive as a place to live, work, visit and invest in.
	Mytholmroyd Station	Mytholmroyd Station and former station building. Points to consider are: a project that finds a use for the former station building, incorporation a business plan, and Community use for the station building. Consider. A project for the present and future generations for the people of Mytholmroyd. Including a plan to lay the foundations to improve our station, providing improved facilities for rail passengers and visitors, including bus passengers. If any businesses are considering to use the station building to the platform (at present the platform is classed as not operations) Some Key Issues. Provision of lift with dual use in partnership with Metro, this will also give access to and from station building, and business. (Please note this idea was proposed in the last project for the station building, sited on the outside the station building where the former lift was sited) Security of the Station and Station Building. Tod consider the security of the station and station building, accommodation for local police, railway police and Metro Transport Wardens Service, and CCTV. Business Plan. As I recall in the past Calder High school were asked to do business plan as part of a project are there any other station Adoption Schemes, ideas we can use for Mytholmroyd Station, as I recall one station, the school adopted the station building.
After event	Alexandra Mill Mytholmroyd	why is the development that is in progress at Alexandra Mill Mytholmroyd which I believe is to provide 10 dwellings not included in the local plan?

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>The land between Greave House Field and Carr Field provides a natural green break between the two villages. If this land is built on it will be to the detriment of both Luddenden and Luddendenfoot villages. The impact will be great and the area will be spoiled for good. Luddenden Village is a real gem of a village and attracts people to live here and to visit the area because of its history, attractive buildings and stunning views. Although the area for proposed development is on the edge of the village it will affect the character of the whole area in a negative way. not just visually but socially. We will be able to see any new development from our house and this will affect the value of our home. We would consider moving away. Having lived in Luddenden for 23 years we know how this area works and the social dynamics that exist as a result of the mix of people living here. It isn't a perfect area by any means and we have incidents of noise, vandalism, drug dealing and other nuisance behaviours at the public toilets, bus shelter and car park, which are opposite our house on the other side of Luddenden Lane. Vandalism and noise issues have often been caused by local youngsters. Kershaw Estate has recently had more social housing built on it. This area will suffer from the increased demand on local services that more families, including those with social problems, will make on those services.</p>
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>The residents of Kershaw Estate have a challenge maintaining a balance between the different groups of people living on the estate and meeting all their needs whilst still attempting to retain a sense of community. Any extension to this area would be detrimental to this community too. The roads - Luddenden Lane and its junction with New Road and High Street - are narrow and difficult to negotiate, with the additional hazard of cars parked along its length. Vehicles often travel faster along this stretch of road than is safe to do so. Any increase in local traffic would have a negative impact on local peoples quality of life and increase the risk of road accidents. Developing the land on Greave House Field for housing would do nothing to improve the area for local people. If development went ahead Calderdale would risk spoiling one of it's prettiest villages for ever.</p>

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	The reason for not wanting houses built on Green Belt land to back of Carr Field known as Greave House Field is because there's enough houses on Kershaw Estate. All traffic is bad now delivery wagons 2 schools no parking spaces for teachers, they park on Dene View and people fetching children to school - our footpaths are a mess with heavy vehicles going on pavements sinking. Disable buses come on as well. We don't want all our Green Belt land built on this is enough don't want any more traffic coming on Dene View from another estate built. Kershaw Estate has got a bad reputation since Pennine Housing took over. Been lots of trouble and damage with certain people nothing seems to be getting done about it. Drugs Drink.
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	It has come as a shock to receive your notice last Friday that there is a proposal to build 44 houses in the field overlooking our property. We bought the house because it was situated in the pretty village of Luddenden and was aware of the hard work of the Luddenden Conservation Society who have always sought to retain the village atmosphere, where residents take pride in their gardens and adjoining landscape. Such a plan to build 44 houses on Greave House Fields will effectively ruin the village and create a sprawl of houses all the way from Burnley Road to Midgley. In addition to spoiling the village, the access to Greave House Fields is problematic. Luddenden Lane is restricted by a long line of parked motor vehicles which effectively reduces the road at the access point to Greave House Fields to one lane. I do hope that you will take into account the above comments and keep our village the pretty village that it is.
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	Greave House Field Luddenden. Any residents of Luddenden will know how much the traffic on New Road - Luddenden Lane has increased since Murgatroyd Mill has been converted into flats. Most of the cars file through the bottle neck in the end of Luddenden Lane onto Burnley Road causing problems in the rush hour. To think that 44 houses would be added with potentially 2 cars per house is a night mare. It seems to make more sense to use first Tenterfields with greater capacity of 74 houses and cars having easier access straight on to Burnley Road. On the Luddenden Conservation Society's website you can read about the character of this ancient village. If the gap between Luddendenfoot and Luddenden = Greave House Field would be closed the key physical features, together with the visual characteristics would be lost, as it would be just a continuous band of houses. If one day - in hopefully distant time - Greave House Field has to be used it certainly should not be tightly packed with 44 houses!

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>This field has been subject to many planning applications over the years, all have failed for a number of substantial reasons causing it to be unsatisfactory for development. THE ACCESS by Dene View is too narrow, cars are parked along it's length at all times due to a lack of garages, ambulances, police cars and fire engines would be delayed in times of emergency. The proximity of the two schools is further concern for the safety of the school children at such times, who would use Dene View to get to school from the proposed development. LUDDENDEN VALLEY is composed of a series of small communities Luddendenfoot, Kershaw and Luddenden Village each with it's own individual characteristics. On Luddenden Lane there is already a degree of ribbon development but looking behind this the communities separate out into distinct areas. The development of this field would change the valley from being a semi-rural locality to one more amalgams and urban in nature. THE FIELD has a network of long established and well used paths providing easy links between Kershaw, Midgley and gladdened village. A bridleway leads from Luddenden Lane to Greave House. Dog owners and horse riders find it is useful too! It is an amenity of much value to the local residents.</p>
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>THE PRESSURE TO BUILD new housing in the south will not really be helped by an excess in the Luddenden valley. In the last few years new housing has mushroomed with construction at Mill Stream Drive, Lodge Close, Barclay Firth on Burnley Road and the replacement of Mental Health Service on Kershaw with housing, to mention a few. Conservation Society figures add support for that opinion. Not all housing sells easily e.g. Lodge Close and Murgatroyd Mills redevelopment, surely enough is enough. TRAFFIC increases on smaller roads with every new development and is already a concern in the valley. New more suitable less potentially hazardous applications will come along, it is better not to develop Greave House Field.</p>
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>We are opposed to this designating this land for development because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It will have a negative effect on the amenity-value of the area, one example being the bridleway that runs across this field. 2. The bus service between Luddenden Lane and Halifax has been reduced to one bus an hour during peak day times (much less frequent in the evenings and on Sundays). Hence any development is likely to generate substantial private motor traffic. If, following the consultation, the Council does decide to designate the field for development, we trust the Council will carefully consider whether as many as 44 houses are appropriate.

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	I wish to forward my views on the development of 44 houses on the land between Dene View and Carr Field Drive known as Grieve House field, this development would; Take away my privacy and views Attach Carr Field Drive to Kershaw estate Reduce the value of properties on Carr Field Drive This field is a buffer separating Luddenden Foot and Luddenden and should not be used for development As 116 houses can be obtained from the Tenderfields site and other short term sites together and the councils need only 90 houses, there is no need to spoil beautiful countryside and habitats where wildlife live and build on Grieve House Field.
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	I write as a Resident of Carr Field Drive, Luddenden. I understand it is proposed to build houses on the land between Greave House Fields & Carr Field Drive. I feel that if this were to happen then I would be living in a massive housing estate stretching from Luddendenfoot up to Midgley. There would be no divide between Luddendenfoot & Luddenden and therefore my address would no longer be in "Luddenden" but probably "Luddendenfoot". This area of land is the only 'green' land in Luddenden and should be left that way. Surely there is enough spare land available in Luddendenfoot (inc Tenterfields) which does not divide communities. I feel the access to any development would mean more traffic and put the lives of children attending the two schools in danger. There has always been a concern that the hillside where this development is proposed is extremely wet. Therefore a lot of consideration into draining the land & not diverting it into our houses in Carr Field Drive would have to be a major negative reason not to build here.

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>I write regarding the proposed plan to build on Grieve House Field Luddenden, we are residents of Dene View. We bought our home 13yrs ago and during that time the amount of traffic along our street has increased due to the local special needs school, Woodbank increasing the number of pupils which in turn has increased the amount of staff employed there. We have no problem with the school it was there before we were here but as there is now not enough parking spaces for the amount of staff during school time our street is packed with cars. There is also the other school Luddenden C.E both these schools have deliveries, lot's of agencies going into the schools all driving along our street at times it is gridlocked with cars, wagons, dustbin men going up onto the pavements where the children walk reversing back and forth and when the school buses come along it is bedlam. We are as you can understand worried how your plans would affect us if they went ahead, we are foster carers and have children of differing ages up to 11 staying with us. Our doctors surgery is only open 4 mornings a week and getting an appointment is near impossible now how would your plans affect that? would our surgery be open more to cope with more people.</p>

Existing Site Number	Address	Comment
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>I have documents relating to an appeal relating to the development of this land in March 1988. Whilst I appreciate planning rules and regulations change and decisions that were made previously can be reviewed, many of the reasons given for dismissing this appeal still apply today. There is a public right of way and bridle path crossing the centre of the site, I understand this is part of an ancient pack horse route and of historical significance and the only section of bridleway in Calderdale crossing an open field. Can I suggest appropriate groups such as Yorkshire Archaeological Service, Calder Civic Trust and Calderdale Bridleways are engaged. The site is within a rural setting and regarded as important wedge of open countryside separating the two village settlements of Luddenden (conservation village) and Luddenden Foot. The site is not viewed from the main road (Luddenden lane) but it is very prominent from the east side of the valley and this view clearly demonstrates this distinct visual break between Luddenden and the developments around Luddenden foot. It is a vital physical gap that separates these two distinct communities that would be lost if the site were permitted to be developed and the Conservation Area of Luddenden (on which this is just on the boundary) would be eroded. The proposed access at Dene View is narrow. Single traffic only. Additional traffic would cause concerns for the safety of children attending the 2 schools, one of which is for special needs, that use this access road and for elderly residents of Dene View. These issues were raised in the previous appeal, which interestingly were based on the assumption of 10 Executive detached homes. T</p>
After event 00117	Land at Greave House Field, Luddendenfoot	<p>The current plan suggests 44 dwellings, a considerable increase in potential traffic. Luddenden Foot does not have a railway station and a limited bus route going to Halifax only. This means a reliance on residents having cars and I don't think it is unrealistic to assume average 1.5 cars per dwelling. There are known springs in the field. The development would surely cause flooding to properties at Carr Field. Indeed this was something that the developers experienced when developing Carr Field. It is agricultural land. Whilst I appreciate it is not used for this purpose at the moment, there is nothing prevent it from being used as such. I would ask that this land is given protected status and steps are taken to designate as Green Belt.</p>